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Introduction

1. Before the early 1970s, there was limited knowledge of the environmental effects of dredging and dredged material placement. Consequently, there was little sound technical or scientific basis for regulating and managing the disposal of dredged material, and when regulations were available, they were often excessive, counterproductive, and without a technical basis. This problem was recognized by the Congress of the United States, and the US Army Corps of Engineers, pursuant to Public Law 91-611, was directed to conduct a comprehensive research program on dredged material placement. The Dredged Material Research Program (DMRP), which was conducted from 1973-1978, subsequently developed first-generation procedures for determining the environmental consequences of dredged material placement and developed new or improved methods for minimizing adverse effects.

2. The Corps was given the lead responsibility for conducting the research since the Corps is responsible for maintaining over 25,000 miles (40,000 km) of Federal channels and over 400 harbors involving the annual disposal of 250 to 300 million cubic yards (191 to 229 million cubic metres) of dredged material. In addition, the Corps regulates the discharge of dredged and fill material in waters of the United States including ocean waters involving an additional 150-200 million cubic yards annually. Approximately 14,000 dredging-related permit applications are processed annually by the Corps. Although the Corps is responsible for and regulates dredged material placement, the regulations are based on environmental guidelines and criteria developed jointly by the Corps and the US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). Therefore, results of the DMRP and other programs described in this report are the major technical base from which the regulations and implementation guidance were developed.

3. This report describes the major completed and ongoing programs (1973 to the present) addressing environmental effects of dredging, disposal, and/or fill activities. These are:
   a. Dredged Material Research Program (DMRP).
   b. Field Verification Program (FVP).
d. Long-Term Effects of Dredging Operations (LEDO) Program.

e. Wetlands Research Program (WRP).

f. Dredging Contaminated Sediment Work Unit of the Improvement of Operations and Maintenance Techniques (IOMT) Program.

All of these programs are research, field demonstrations, or technology transfer efforts of the Environmental Laboratory (EL) of the US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES), Vicksburg, MS. Work is accomplished using contractual and in-house research and development capabilities. Through these and other programs the EL has evolved into an internationally recognized center of excellence for applied research, direct field assistance, and technology transfer programs on the environmental consequences of dredging and dredged material disposal. All current research and programs are under the centralized management of the Environmental Effects of Dredging Programs (EEDP). The majority of publications developed in the above programs are listed in Appendix A.

Completed Research

Dredged Material Research Program (1973–1978)

4. The Corps initiated the DMRP in 1973 and successfully completed the program in 1978 at a cost approaching $33 million. The DMRP was designed to be applicable nationally, including all major types of dredging activities, regions of the country, and environmental settings. The program resulted in first-generation procedures for evaluating the physical, chemical, and biological impacts for a variety of disposal alternatives in water, on land, and in wetland areas. It produced tested, cost-effective methods and guidelines for assessing and minimizing the impacts of conventional disposal alternatives. At the same time, the DMRP demonstrated the viability and limits of new disposal alternatives, including the beneficial use of dredged material as a natural resource. New or improved procedures were developed for designing, constructing, and managing confined disposal areas to maximize service life and minimize adverse environmental impacts. Procedures were developed to predict and minimize turbidity from dredged material disposal operations. Methods were developed to predict movement of dredged material in aquatic
environments. Guidance for creating habitat (such as wetlands) using dredged material was developed.

5. In addition to providing data and information needed to develop criteria and guidelines, two fundamental disposal management conclusions were reached. Studies conducted and experience gained in the post-DMRP years have supported these conclusions. The first conclusion is that no single placement alternative is most suited for a region or a type of project. Conversely, there is no single placement alternative that can be dismissed as environmentally unsatisfactory due to potential impacts. In other words, from a technical standpoint there is no inherent effect or characteristic of an alternative placement method that precludes its consideration before specific site assessment. This conclusion holds true for ocean placement, confined placement, or any other alternative.

6. The second fundamental conclusion relates to long-term management strategy. To address a variety of environmental factors and considerations adequately, long-term regional strategies are required for effective placement of dredged material. Through use of disposal management plans that consider project types, dredged material characteristics, placement alternatives, and other factors, the best opportunity exists for maximum environmental protection at an acceptable cost.

7. In summary, the results of the DMRP provided the first definitive information on the impacts of dredged material placement and on methods to minimize any adverse effects. This technology was made available to the users in the form of technical reports and synthesis reports. Over 250 technical reports were published on program results. The DMRP is summarized in an Executive document and annotated in a Publication Index and Retrieval System document as listed below.


Field Verification Program (1981-1987)

8. During planning of LEDO field investigations with the Corps' New England Division, it became apparent that a unique set of circumstances existed in the New England region. The environmental effects of placement of highly contaminated dredged material from a single location could be evaluated simultaneously under three placement alternatives (open-water placement, upland placement, and wetland creation). The FVP was established as a cooperative effort among the Corps' New England Division, the WES, and the USEPA to field verify existing predictive laboratory testing procedures. It was a 6-year, $7.2 million program completed in FY 87. Through the program, promising procedures already developed by the Corps along with techniques previously developed by USEPA for other waste materials were applied to project conditions at Black Rock Harbor, Bridgeport, CT, using dredged material from a single highly contaminated maintenance dredging operation. Although the three placement alternatives were evaluated independently during the DMRP, the FVP investigations provided the first opportunity for direct comparison of the environmental consequences of placing the same dredged material under such a broad range of disposal conditions.

9. The program's major areas of investigation included:

a. **Bioaccumulation of contaminants by aquatic animals.** Levels of bioaccumulation of selected contaminants over time, biological and physical factors affecting bioaccumulation, and variability of bioaccumulation predictions were documented in the laboratory. Bioaccumulation was then determined under field conditions and compared with laboratory predictions to verify the accuracy of the prediction methods.

b. **Consequences of bioaccumulation in aquatic animals.** Physiological indices of biological health were assessed in organisms that have accumulated contaminants from dredged material. These indices, previously developed by USEPA for use in nondredged material regulatory programs, included scope for growth, sister chromatid exchange, reproductive effects, effects on enzyme systems, and histopathological parameters. The responses of aquatic animals to contaminants were determined in the laboratory to establish feasibility for assessing dredged material and correlation with bioaccumulation. Responses were then investigated in aquatic organisms exposed to contaminated sediments in the field.

c. **Effects of aquatic placement on community structures.** Effects of contaminated dredged material disposal on community structures were determined by measuring mortality, reproduction, and intrinsic rate of growth in selected populations within aquatic
communities. These assessments were documented in the laboratory and investigated in the field for verification purposes.

d. Effects of upland placement on water quality. Laboratory tests for predicting effluent quality were conducted on contaminated sediment before placement in a confined disposal area. The confined disposal area was designed, constructed, operated, and managed to ensure optimum fill configuration for the field studies and evaluation of effluent quality effects. During the filling operation, influent and effluent water quality parameters were monitored extensively at selected stations within the disposal area. Monitoring wells were placed around and within the disposal area, and ground-water samples were taken before, during, and after filling. Surface runoff water quality was predicted using laboratory rainfall runoff simulations. Following placement, the quality of rainfall-induced surface runoff water was determined by collecting surface water samples from controlled field rainfall simulation tests.

e. Bioaccumulation of contaminants in upland and wetland plants. Results of first-generation laboratory/greenhouse test procedures from DOTS and other studies were evaluated at the field site. Saltmarsh plants were grown in the laboratory under controlled wetland and upland conditions and analyzed for contaminant bioaccumulation. Field investigations were conducted to verify laboratory test results. Saltmarsh plants were planted at the upland disposal facility at Black Rock Harbor and sampled each growing season to determine contaminant bioaccumulation.

f. Bioaccumulation of contaminants in upland and wetland animals. Existing upland and wetland animal bioassay test procedures developed in Europe were conducted in the laboratory. Laboratory results were evaluated in the field using selected upland and wetland animals.

10. Results showed that laboratory methods for predicting effluent and surface water quality and plant toxicity in upland disposal sites compared well with field data results. The techniques for predicting effluent and surface water quality were shown to have good utility for predisposal evaluations of dredged material proposed for upland disposal. Methods for testing toxicity and bioaccumulation in plants in the wetland environment showed good predictive ability. However, optimum utility for predictive evaluations for the animal bioassays awaits further confirmation of their reproducibility. Techniques shown to have good utility for predisposal evaluation of dredged material proposed for aquatic disposal include toxicity, bioaccumulation, intrinsic rate of population increase, and scope for growth.
11. More methods for testing chronic, sublethal effects were evaluated in the aquatic environment than in the upland or wetland environments. Methods for predicting aquatic impacts were shown to have good utility for pre-disposal evaluations. In general, the effects of aquatic placement predicted in the laboratory and observed in the field were less persistent than in the other two environments. Wetland creation showed greater effects than aquatic disposal. Upland disposal produced the greatest and most persistent impacts. This is compatible with expectations based on the physicochemical behavior of contaminated dredged material in the three environments. The same ranking of effects in the upland, wetland, and aquatic environments can be expected in similar situations, although the relative magnitude of effects may be different.

12. The four primary synthesis documents produced by the program are listed below while all other documents produced are listed in Appendix A.


13. The Corps established the Dredging Operations Technical Support Program in 1978 as a direct field assistance and technology transfer vehicle to assist the field in implementing the DMRP and subsequent research results. Direct assistance and consultation are provided, through DOTS, to all elements of the Corps (Districts, Divisions, and Headquarters) to handle environmental
problems associated with dredging and dredged material placement. Since DOTS was begun in 1978, over 1,450 requests for assistance from Corps operating elements have been acted upon. DOTS assistance includes briefings and seminars, study plans and project monitoring strategies, guidelines and criteria (federal, state, and local), technical review of reports, management plans for disposal areas, litigation, and other assistance.

14. The DOTS program has evolved in the past decade from a follow-up to the DMRP to a complete dredged material management strategy. The specific management tasks address high-priority technology application needs of the field as determined from direct field input. As the management tasks become a routine part of field application, the Corps continues to face new disposal management decisions brought on by even more environmentally complex problems, regulations, and changing national and international waste management policies. Based on these changing field needs, recommendations from the Chief of Engineers' Environmental Advisory Board, directions from HQUACE, and direct request from the field, a complete Dredged Material Management structure for the DOTS Program is developed that will ensure appropriate technology transfer and technical application. These tasks are generally two-year efforts that package practical field guidance of existing technology as opposed to research efforts concerned with developing the technology. Additionally, these tasks will integrate ongoing case studies, field demonstrations, field strategy meetings, the LEDO Research Program, the FVP, the Environmental Impact Research Program, the WRP, and DOTS technology responses into field-tested management strategies for economically and environmentally sound management of dredged material.

15. The objectives are twofold: (a) to continue to address high-priority technology application needs of the field and (b) to apply a comprehensive long-term management strategy for the evaluation and assessment of multimedia placement of dredged material.

16. The following eleven high-priority field-directed technology needs are underway at this time within the Dredged Material Management aspects of the DOTS Program.

a. Automated Dredging and Disposal Alternative Management System (ADDAMS) (FY 87-90). ADDAMS provides the field with tools for designing, evaluating and managing site-specific and areawide dredged material disposal alternatives. It is a collection of user-friendly personal computer programs and models operating
under a single shell. ADDAMS presently incorporates programs for six management/design/evaluation techniques: solids retention and storage analysis for confined disposal facility design; analysis of consolidation and desiccation of dredged material for long-term storage requirements; hydraulic efficiency analysis for determination of retention time of confined disposal facilities; analysis of modified elutriate test results for chemical effluent quality prediction and mixing requirements; economic optimization analysis for site acquisition, use, and management and equipment selection; and prediction of short-term deposition and dispersion of dredged material disposed in open water. Additional techniques and methods are being incorporated into ADDAMS as well as improvements to the existing programs.

b. Guidelines for biological and physical monitoring of aquatic disposal (FY 87-89). Although monitoring of aquatic disposal sites is mandated in the Marine Protection Research and Sanctuaries Act, no standardized approach or method exists for Corps field elements to accomplish the mission. This task is concerned with developing those standardized approaches and methods to meet environmental requirements within cost constraints imposed on the project. The guidelines will be developed by integrating present knowledge about existing capabilities, tools, and techniques, and the results of field tests and experience. Options will be presented on a tiered basis and will cover a range of possible plans, from minimum requirements to an intensive, state-of-the-art monitoring scenario for problem dredged material. Guidance will reflect the financial and time limitations resulting from such monitoring plans.

c. Beneficial uses of dredged material: applications in coastal open-water habitats (FY 88-90). Placement of dredged material to achieve wetland and upland wildlife benefits is gradually becoming acknowledged and practiced as a viable disposal management option. Open-water placement, however, is reluctantly tolerated by Federal and state fishery resource management agencies as a viable option only when all other alternatives are not available. However, evidence supports aquatic habitat enhancement through the placement of dredged material. This task is evaluating dredged material placement options in shallow and deep coastal open-water settings that will yield enhanced fishery habitat as well as potential dredging project cost savings. General aquatic habitat enhancement will be investigated through workgroups, while some field information will be obtained through observing ongoing District projects. For example, the Baltimore District (NAB) dredged material oyster beds and eelgrass habitat development sites are being monitored. These data will be supplemented with fishery resource information from the New England Division (NED) Disposal Area Monitoring System (DAMOS) Program as well as the Mobile District stable and near-shore berm projects. Interim
field reports are available and final offshore and inshore summary reports are due in September 1990.

d. Seasonal restrictions on dredging (FY 87-89). Operations and maintenance dredging in every District is affected in some way by seasonal dredging restrictions designed to protect significant biological resources. Districts comply with these restrictions, but in some instances the restrictions complicate scheduling, funding, and contracting, contributing to higher project costs and increased safety hazards of field operations. Ironically, little technical evidence supports many of these restrictions. This task is examining the applicability, necessity, and scope of restrictions for all aspects of dredging and dredged material placement. Criteria will be developed that could be used for applying restrictions where a technical information base is adequate. Some of the key coastal issues examined are: (1) entrainment of oyster larvae during hydraulic dredging, (2) dredge-induced turbidity effects on east and west coast anadromous fish migration, (3) impacts of barge and hopper overflow, and (4) dredge-induced reduction in dissolved oxygen. In addition a workshop assessing dredging restrictions in inland waterways will be conducted. Issue resolution guidance will be available in September 1990.

e. Optimization of dredging and dredged material disposal (FY 87-89). The objective of this task is to quantify the fiscal benefits obtainable by use of appropriate and intensive operation and management of confined disposal facilities, to develop generally applicable design guidance for small projects for which significant testing and design efforts are not warranted, and to address the optimization of the engineering aspects of other dredging and disposal technology. The task involves field demonstrations to refine procedures for designing, operating, and managing dredged material containment areas to meet required effluent suspended-solids standards and to provide adequate storage volume. Field site measurements are used to refine various predictive methods for volumetric sizing, estimating hydraulic efficiency, and predicting long-term storage capacity of containment areas. Definitive guidance has also been developed for predicting the dewatering potential of upland contained sites. Additional guidance will be available on trenching technology for dewatering, design guidance for small projects, and economic justification guidance for the various levels of management options available for upland disposal areas. The levels of management options may range from no activity at the site after disposal to intensive progressive trenching and crust removal to increase a site's useful disposal life.

f. Decisionmaking framework application software (FY 89-90). General implementation of the regulatory decisionmaking framework for management of dredged material is somewhat restricted because expertise in numerous fields is required by users.
Successful implementation of the strategy has invariably required the active participation of several technical personnel. This task will produce a first-generation interactive application software (expert system) that will allow field elements to routinely apply the strategy in assessing dredged material disposal projects. The software will be especially effective when a data base developed from all or part of previously resolved decisions might apply to an ongoing or upcoming project. The software package will be available in September 1990.

**g. Framework for comprehensive analysis of migration pathways (CAMP) in confined disposal facilities (CDF) (FY 89-90).** Presently limited guidance exists for evaluating or predicting the containment efficiency for confined disposal of contaminated dredged material. Under this task, the present state of the knowledge will be assessed and a computer-based comprehensive approach and integration mechanism for predicting and evaluating contaminant migration pathways in CDFs will be developed. This framework will present a tiered approach for integrating and assessing physical, chemical, and biological processes. A unifying structure will be provided for CDF assessment and will involve identifying (1) what is known about containment efficiency and contaminant mass transport, (2) key contaminant mobility processes and pathways, and (3) the computational analyses routines needed for quantification and fate of contaminants. A draft CAMP framework will be available in September 1990.

**h. Beneficial uses of dredged material: stabilization of dredged material shorelines with wetland vegetation in moderate wave energies (FY 87-89).** Numerous Corps disposal sites are located in areas of moderate wave energies. The stability of the shorelines of such sites has been a continuing problem. Although it is expensive, traditional stone armor is often used to protect such sites. The objective of this task is to demonstrate alternative stabilization methods using wetland vegetation. These new techniques should prove as effective as the armor stone in protecting the shoreline with the added benefits of being less costly in addition to providing an environmental enhancement through creating wetland habitat. Field demonstrations have been carried out in Mobile Bay, AL; Mississippi Sound, MS; Galveston Bay, TX; and along the Atlantic Inland Coastal Waterway in conjunction with ongoing dredging projects of the Mobile, Galveston, and Wilmington Districts. A number of techniques have been demonstrated, including plant rolls, planting mats, and low-cost and/or portable breakwaters to allow establishment of the vegetation in the moderate energy environment. Final guidance document is scheduled for September 1989.

**i. Beneficial uses of dredged material: application in large inland waterways (FY 88-90).** Despite frequent economic
benefits of inland aquatic placement, the potential uses of dredged material to create or enrich submerged aquatic habitats have not been systematically examined. This task will not only examine the issue, but will also develop field guidance for future applications of the successful techniques. In conjunction with ongoing District projects, the following demonstrations sites have been examined: (1) a ripple-pool complex in the Tombigbee River near Columbus, MS; (2) a gravel-bar habitat in the lower Ohio River near Mound City, IL; (3) a site near Diamond Island in the Tennessee River; and (4) sites along the Flint-Appalachicola Waterway. Completion of the site-specific studies and publication of the synthesis report will be accomplished in FY 90.

j. Dredged material chemical testing cost reduction (FY 87-89). The cost of testing dredged material is extremely high, especially when the entire list of priority chemicals is analyzed for each sediment sample and numerous samples are tested. Moreover, much of this testing is required by Federal and State regulatory programs without clear demonstration of the need for or intended use of the data. This task is concerned with reducing that cost while providing the basic testing and assessment information for an appropriate evaluation of the environmental impact of dredged material disposal. Areas of interest will include but will not be restricted to (1) limiting the extent of testing through careful initial evaluation, (2) using a tiered approach to testing, (3) implementing efficient sampling plan approaches such as compositing and archiving of samples, and (4) analyzing sediments for a single contaminant that can be related to the distribution of other contaminants. Draft guidance on techniques to reduce chemical testing will be available in September 1989.

k. Guidelines for long-term management strategies (LTMS) of dredged material placement (FY 88-90). A number of federal navigation projects are facing a serious shortage of a dredged material disposal sites, especially upland sites. In many instances the projects rely on cycle-to-cycle identification of a disposal site. This problem can only intensify as less land is available or additional dredged material is removed. To fully and effectively address this long-term problem the Corps has initiated development of Long-Term Management Strategies for placement of dredged material. This task will assist the Corps LTMS steering group in developing, documenting, and disseminating guidance for preparing and implementing appropriate LTMS for dredging projects. The following areas will be pursued: (1) working sessions will be held with various model District study participants, (2) lessons learned and benefits derived from the model demonstrations will be identified and assessed, (3) Corps guidance for developing LTMS will be prepared, and (4) a how-to workshop for all Corps FOAs will be developed for direct technology transfer to interested field elements.
17. Although the dredged material management effort presently consists of the above eleven tasks, it incorporates the knowledge of many years of experience and research and development and can, if the need arises, be modified to address other high-priority needs.

18. Appendix A lists all reports published within the program since 1977.

Current Research

Background

19. The DMRP addressed and answered the critical environmental issues defined in the early 1970s. Subsequent regulatory research efforts under DOTS provided technical information used by the Corps and the USEPA in conducting dredging and placement evaluations required by Federal legislation. Because of the dynamic and evolving nature of environmental considerations and the increasing depth of knowledge of contaminant/ecological relationships neither the DMRP nor the subsequent regulatory research has addressed to the level necessary, all questions on environmental impacts associated with dredging or dredged material placement. Moreover, new questions or concerns are evolving more rapidly than the Corps' existing data base.

20. The need to continue research on the environmental consequences of dredged material placement was stated by the Corps during Congressional hearings in early 1980. The Congress, as a result of testimony received, expressed concern over the long-term environmental effects of dredged material placement in some instances. In addition, international agreements such as the London Dumping Convention (an international treaty) as well as domestic regulations require consideration of chronic or sublethal effects in the evaluation of dredged material placement in the oceans. Presently, the state of the art allows for accurate measurement of bioaccumulation, but does not provide for predicting steady-state bioaccumulation into organisms of chemicals from sediments. In addition, current evaluative protocol regards any bioaccumulation as an adverse impact because cause-and-effect relationships are lacking for interpreting the ecological significance of uptake. Other sublethal effects are not being addressed by the regulatory program because of the lack of state-of-the-art, field-verified procedures. The lack of defined and acceptable testing procedures that allow for an accurate assessment of the
ecological significance of these effects has led to interpretative data conflicts among regulatory agencies and litigation on Federal projects.

21. The Corps also has the responsibility to regulate certain activities impacting wetland functions and values. As a result of this responsibility, wetlands must be defined and delineated and information must be provided for evaluating wetlands.

22. To address the concerns expressed by the Congress and because of the need to establish a sound technical basis for managing highly contaminated dredged material and promulgating the legislatively mandated regulatory mission, the Corps is conducting these programs: LEDO, WRP, a work unit under the IOMT program that addresses methods of dredging contaminated sediments, and the Dredging Research Program (DRP).

Long-term Effects of Dredging Operations Program

23. The objectives of LEDO are to provide new or improved state-of-the-art technology for predicting long-term environmental impacts of dredging operations and to improve and develop methods for minimizing any adverse impacts associated with dredged material placement. The effects of aquatic and upland placement are currently being researched. LEDO is planned as a continuing program, as applied environmental research must be responsive to the dynamic nature of current pollution problems and research priorities must as a consequence be responsive to these needs.

24. Specific areas of research presently addressed in LEDO include the following:

a. **Bioaccumulation (uptake) and biomagnification (transfer) in the aquatic environment including effects interpretation.** Establish the significance of bioaccumulation and biomagnification in aquatic organisms of toxic materials associated with the aquatic placement of contaminated dredged material. Develop or improve predictive techniques for estimating the potential bioaccumulation of toxic chemicals from sediment.

b. **Development and assessment of procedures to reduce adverse impacts.** Perform laboratory and field tests of existing procedures that will eliminate or minimize adverse impacts of dredged material placement. Capping of contaminated dredged material with clean dredged material is an example of an innovative procedure that the London Dumping Convention allows, provided continuing research is conducted.

c. **Upland plant and animal bioassay procedures.** Improve first-generation plant and animal bioassays for predicting uptake of
contaminants from dredged material in wetland and upland disposal areas.

d. **Effluent quality.** Increase the understanding of the geochemical changes that occur with time in upland dredged material containment areas; develop or improve techniques for predicting contaminant concentrations in the effluent from diked dredged material containment areas. The development of mixing zone technology is also included in this work.

e. **Sediment Geochemistry.** Investigate and delineate the factors responsible for the regulation of the bioavailability of contaminants associated with sediment. Determine if contaminant activities measured in sediment affect contaminant bioavailability by examining sublethal toxicity and bioaccumulation.

25. Research results will provide a broadened state-of-the-art technical basis for the Corps' implementation of its environmental regulatory responsibilities under Federal legislation. Additionally, technically updating the Ocean Dumping Implementation Manual and providing a Section 404 Clean Water Act implementation manual are program objectives. Emphasis will be placed on presenting research results in the national and international technical and scientific literature as well as making results immediately available to the field through innovative Corps technology transfer channels.

26. LEDO reports published since 1977 are also listed in Appendix A.

**Wetlands Research Program**

27. Two main objectives of the WRP are the development of improved and standardized techniques to assist Corps field personnel in the identification and delineation of wetlands and the assessment, and quantification of wetland functions and values. Both objectives are designed primarily to meet the needs of the Section 404 regulatory program; however, their application extends to planning, construction, and operational activities within the Corps. The first objective was essentially completed with the publication of "Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual" (WES Technical Report Y-87-l). A one-year field review followed with the idea that the manual would be rewritten based on the field input. Subsequent negotiations with the USEPA, Soil Conservation Service (SCS), and US Fish and Wildlife Service resulted in a combined Federal Wetland Delineation Manual; the agreement was signed by representatives of the four agencies on January 10, 1989 and the manual was published in July 1989.
28. The emphasis in the WRP is now on the second objective: the assessment and measurement methodologies for wetland functions and values. Previous WRP research has consisted of evaluating existing assessment techniques, surveying needs, identifying technical data gaps, and completing a nationwide research plan. The research plan identifies and prioritizes Corps wetlands values information needs. The highest priority wetlands research identified in the plan is under way and consists of determining selected physical, chemical, and biological functions in bottomland hardwood forests of the southeastern United States. The primary study area is the Black Swamp area of the Cache River in Arkansas.

29. A major product of the functions and values research is the Wetland Evaluation Technique (WET). WET is a national procedure for identifying the probability that a given wetland can perform separate functions. These functions address different aspects of hydrology, water quality, and fish and wildlife habitat. Research results derived from the Cache River investigation and similar studies will provide specific information needed to field verify, refine, and regionalize WET. Input from the Corps field elements will assist in determining a priority of wetland type by region in subsequent studies.

30. Specific work units in the WRP for FY 89 were as follows:

a. **Assessment and measurement methods for functional wetland values.** This work unit continues to develop and refine the two-volume Wetland Evaluation Technique (WET). Primary emphasis is to identify data gaps and research needs to improve WET. Other federal agencies are reviewing the functional models in WET and identified changes will be made.

b. **Quantification of physical, chemical, and biological values.** Functional values in WET are grouped into physical, chemical, and biological values and a work unit exists to address each group of values identified. While the models in WET are presently being evaluated in the previous work unit to strengthen the WET methods and technique, these three work units are investigating specific wetlands on a regional basis. As each study is completed, the information will be incorporated into WET.

c. **Quantification of selected economic values.** An important aspect of wetland assessment during the public interest review process may include the economic benefits of developing a particular wetland site. Although a permit decision should never be made solely on economic benefits, such information may be considered. However, readily applicable methods for monetary assessment of wetland values are lacking. The objective of this work unit is to obtain information necessary for monetary
assessment of selected wetland functions and values and to develop economic models that are compatible with WET.

31. Two other high-priority work units that are presently unfunded include aspects of wetland mitigation and determination of the cumulative impacts of wetland loss. Research on these work units will begin as funds become available.

32. The Wetlands Research Program also assists in wetland training courses offered by WES to assure that current technical knowledge is being transferred to Corps personnel.

Dredging Contaminated Sediment

33. In the United States, much work has been conducted over the past 15 years on the effects of dredged material placement. However, minimal work has been conducted on the effects of the dredging operation itself because it was assumed that the placement operation would have the most significant impact. However, because of the need to dredge highly contaminated sediment, research was required to identify environmental parameters associated with conventional dredges as well as investigating and developing procedures and equipment to minimize adverse effects associated with the dredging operation. This study was incorporated into the Improvement of Operations and Maintenance Techniques (IOMT) Program, another major program in the Corps of Engineers.

34. Existing data on the resuspension of sediments and contaminants are being collected on a national and international basis. In addition, field studies are being conducted at various sites where unconventional equipment is being used. Based on these data, guidelines will be developed for dredging highly contaminated sediments to minimize any adverse impacts.

Dredging Research Program—technology transfer

35. Finally, the Environmental Laboratory through the EEDP has been tasked with accomplishing the technology transfer efforts of the 7-year, $35 million Dredging Research Program (DRP). The program is managed by the Coastal Engineering Research Center (CERC) of the WES and is a major thrust toward developing improved technologies and equipment that can reduce the cost of dredging operations. The program focuses on problem areas related to the physical aspects of dredging or dredging projects. Corps' needs in terms of research related to the environmental aspects of dredging will continue to be met through activities within the EEDP. As in the EEDP, the DRP will use various media to assure expedient transfer of results and methods to
facilitate their use. Specifically, program results will, as appropriate, be presented in an information exchange bulletin; manuals; specifications; technical reports; technical notes; miscellaneous papers; computer software and user guides; instructional videotapes; lectured training courses, meetings, workshops, and symposia; and one-stop consultations by the Program Manager and Principal Investigators.

Summary

36. Prior to the 1970s, little research was conducted by the Corps of Engineers, or by other agencies, on the environmental effects of dredging and dredged material placement. Within the past 15 years, major research has been conducted and is continuing in high-priority areas. The Corps has a three-element (research, assistance, and management) approach to better understanding the environmental impacts of dredging operations and the regulatory aspects of wetlands. Research is conducted under LEDO and the WRP. Through DOTS, direct field assistance is available and a dredged material management program places the research developed in a user-oriented framework. The Corps of Engineers has, through the EEDP, an innovative and active technology transfer program through the mechanism of providing direct technical assistance to the field, and a means to address high-priority research needs on a continuing basis.
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